AKERMAN LLP

28

¹ ADP states that it is incorrectly identified in the case caption as Automatic Data Processing, Inc.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

In support of this unopposed motion, ADP states as follows:

BACKGROUND

- 1. On April 20, 2023, pursuant to the Court's scheduling order in this case (ECF No. 23), ADP timely filed a Third-Party Complaint against a new party, Byrd Insurance, Inc. ("Byrd Insurance"). See ECF No. 34.
- 2. On May 16, 2023, Byrd Insurance first appeared in the case, by filing its Answer to ADP's Third-Party Complaint and Counterclaims against ADP. *See* ECF No. 39.
- 3. On May 25, 2023, before ADP was required to answer, move, or otherwise respond to Byrd Insurance's May 16 filing, Byrd Insurance filed an Amended Answer and Counterclaims. *See* ECF No. 43.
- 4. On June 15, 2023, ADP timely filed two motions to: (1) dismiss Byrd Insurance's Counterclaims under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), and (2) strike Byrd Insurance's Affirmative Defenses under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f). *See* ECF Nos. 45-46.
- 5. On June 29, 2023, Byrd Insurance filed its opposition briefs to ADP's two motions. *See* ECF Nos. 47-48
- 6. Pursuant to LR 7-2(b), ADP now has until July 6, 2023 to file two reply briefs in support of its pending Rule 12(b)(6) and Rule 12(f) motions against Byrd Insurance.

LOCAL RULE IA 6-1(a) STATEMENTS

- 7. Given the upcoming Fourth of July holiday, which falls in the middle of the week and impacts the availability of counsel and clients, ADP hereby seeks an extension of time until <u>July 14</u>, <u>2023</u>, to file its two reply briefs in support of its pending Rule 12(b)(6) and Rule 12(f) motions against Byrd Insurance.
- 8. ADP brings this motion in the interest of justice and not for the purpose of undue delay. This is ADP's <u>first</u> request for extension of the deadline for ADP to file its two reply briefs.
- 9. In addition, prior to filing this motion, counsel for ADP conferred with counsel for Byrd Insurance, who confirmed that Byrd Insurance does not oppose and <u>agrees</u> to ADP's requested extension.

WHEREFORE, pursuant Local Rules IA 6-1, IA 6-2, and LR 7-2, ADP respectfully requests an extension of time, from July 6, 2023 to <u>July 14, 2023</u>, to file ADP's two reply briefs in support of: (1) *ADP's Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Byrd Insurance's Counterclaims* (ECF No. 45), and (2) *ADP's Rule 12(f) Motion to Strike Byrd Insurance's Affirmative Defenses* (ECF No. 46).

AKERMAN, LLP

/s/ Brian C. Bianco
Lilith V. Xara, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 13138
1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Brian C. Bianco, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Julia R. Lissner, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Akerman LLP 71 S. Wacker Drive, 46th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606

Counsel for Defendant / Third-Party Plaintiff / Counter-Defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: __July 5, 2023